

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DIANNE NELSON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-1103 DFL KJM PS

12 vs.

13 PLACER COUNTY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was
17 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).

18 On July 25, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
19 which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to
20 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Plaintiff filed a document in
21 this case on August 4, 2006.

22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
23 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
24 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
25 proper analysis.


26 /////

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 25, 2006, are adopted in full; and

3 2. This action is dismissed with prejudice.

4 DATED: 11/29/2006

5
6 
7 _____
8 DAVID F. LEVI
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26